REMARKS

In an embodiment of the present invention, a web site hosting a web page may be forewarned of the potential for an increased number of requests to access the web site as a result of TV viewers simultaneously viewing a uniform resource locator (URL) that is displayed in conjunction with video content. In one implementation, the web site hosting facility and the receivers in the homes of the viewers may both receive television programming. Neither Hidary nor Parasnis attempt to do the same.


For example, Hidary does not teach sending scheduling information to a server to be accessed before distributing video programming to enable the server to prepare for an increase access load. *See* Paper No. 20050613, page 3. Additionally, in Figure 4 of Hidary the TV broadcast signal is only transmitted to locations other than a web site server. *See* Figure 4, reference number 86.

Parasnis does not cure the deficiency of Hidary. First, Parasnis has nothing to do with television programming or distributing a URL that is associated with the television programming. Second, the URL alluded to in the Office action is to *enable access* to the presentation; the server multicasts only to those attendees who have accessed the presentation through the URL. *See* column 22, line 67-column 23, line 25. Thus, there is not an increase in the number of attendees after seeing the presentation. In other words, in Parasnis, an potential for increased access has nothing to do with the receipt of the presentation; the attendee has to decide whether he or she wants to view the presentation beforehand. Moreover, the presenter has a rough idea of the number of attendees before the presenter selects a server. In fact, the examiner acknowledges that the non-Netshow server handles a maximum of 15 users. Paper No. 20050613, page 4. As neither Hidary nor Parasnis disclose or suggest all of the limitations of amended claims 1, 11, or 21 reconsideration of each of the claim rejections (independent and dependent) is requested.

In view of the remarks and amendments herein, the application should now be in condition for allowance. The examiner's prompt action in accordance therewith is requested. The commissioner is authorized to charge any necessary fees or to credit any overpayment to deposit account 20-1504 (ITL.0367US).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 15, 2005



Rhonda L. Sheldon, Reg. No. 50,457
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100
Houston, TX 77024
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation